# Hard-Core UNSAT Generation

Generating Hard Unsatisfiable Boolean-SAT Instances by Leveraging Cores

### **Boolean Satisfiability:**

Circuits  $\rightarrow$  Boolean Eq.  $\rightarrow$  SAT

SAT is Hard!

**NP-Complete** 

 $(\overline{A} \lor \overline{B} \lor C) \land (A \lor \overline{C}) \land (B \lor C)$ 

Scarce realistic data

### Motivation

**Industrial** Applications:

Formal Verification - Circuit design, knowledge trees, ....



### **Motivation**



### **Background and Terminology**

Туре	Operation	Clause CNF Sub-expression
	$C = A \cdot B$	$\overline{A \lor \overline{B} \lor C} \land (A \lor \overline{C}) \land (B \lor \overline{C})$
	$C = \overline{A \cdot B}$	$(\overline{A} \lor \overline{B} \lor \overline{C}) \land (A \lor C) \land (B \lor C)$
	-	Literal

### **Previous Models**

100.000	W2SAT	HardSATGEN	G2MILP	ours
Average Hardness (%)	0	185	0.22	262
Average Time Cost (s)	3.36	6441	4.86	5.46

#### We want Hard Generations

Hardness (%) = generated solve-time / original solve-time

#### We want Cheap Generations **Time Cost (s) =** model **inference run-time** / **number** of generations

### Satisfiability



#### As Hard as its Minimal Unsatisfiable Set

Smallest subset of clauses of a CNF that is still unsatisfiable

**Core** Hardness  $\rightarrow$  Instance Hardness

### Hardness Collapse when Generating: Trivial Cores

 $(\neg A \lor B) \land (A \lor \neg B) \land (\neg A \lor \neg B)$  $(A \lor B) \land (\neg A \lor B) \land (A \lor \neg B) \land (\neg A \lor \neg B)$ 

### **Trivial De-Coring**

# $(A \lor B) \land (\neg A \lor B) \land (A \lor \neg B) \land (\neg A \lor \neg B)$

# $(A \lor B \lor C) \land (\neg A \lor B) \land (A \lor \neg B) \land (\neg A \lor \neg B)$

### Hardening the Output: Core Refinement



### Are Hard Cores Guaranteed?



Core Refinement requires repetitive Core Detection (which is slow)

Can we **speed up** Core Detection?

### SAT as a Graph - LCG



$$(\overline{A} \lor \overline{B} \lor C) \land (A \lor \overline{C}) \land (B \lor \overline{C})$$

### **Core Prediction**

Binary Classification over clause nodes

 $\textbf{True} \rightarrow \textbf{Core}$ 

 $\textbf{False} \rightarrow \textbf{ not Core}$ 

Train GNN on CNF-Core pairs

### Supervised Training? But we don't have much data...

For a few CNFs, do Core Refinement using slow Core Detection algorithm

At each step in Core Refinement, save CNF, Core pair as training data.

If we do **200** Core Refinement **steps**, we **multiply** our training set by **200**.

## Results

### Speedup

10022-000	W2SAT	HardSATGEN	G2MILP	ours
Average Hardness (%)	0	185	0.22	262
Average Time Cost (s)	3.36	6441	4.86	5.46

2000x speedup!

### Visualizing Hardness measured by solve-time



### The Relative Positions are Similar... Rankings?







### **Downstream Task**

Can we train a **solve-time** prediction model using **generated** data?

Train an **mlp** on **original** data, test on **original data** 

Train an mlp on original + synthetic data, test on original data

Performance metric: MAE



Original (Green) and Augmented (Blue) Predicted Runtime MAE on Internal LEC Data

-Early Stopping

-3 layer MLP

-commonly used hand-crafted features

-p: wilcoxon p value for original performance < combined performance